

Point in Time Count – 2020

Lincoln, Benton, & Linn Counties



Brad Smith, Corvallis Housing First & SHELTERWARE
Evaluation done for CSC, Albany – June 12, 2020



	Page
• SUMMARY.....	3
• METHODOLOGY	4
• LOCATIONS	5
• GENDER	9
• AGE	10
• SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED	13
• VETERAN STATUS	14
• DURATION OF HOMELESSNESS.....	15
• # TIMES HOMELESS IN PAST 3 YR	16
• TIME IN COMMUNITY.....	18
• ETHNICITY	20
• DISABILITY STATUS	21
• POPULATION SIZE ESTIMATES	22
• IMPACT OF UNEMPLOYMENT	23
• DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	24
• APPENDIX A: LINCOLN COUNTY	25
• APPENDIX B: BENTON COUNTY	35
• APPENDIX C: LINN COUNTY	45



KEY POINTS:

- The HUD mandated Point-In-Time Count (PIT Count) was conducted in January 2020 in Lincoln, Benton, and Linn Counties under the supervision of Community Services Consortium. The aggregate survey results are used to provide an annual nationwide snap-shot of homelessness in the US. The results from the tri-county area are presented in this report.
- 822 interviews were conducted with 68 data fields collected for each individual if all questions were answered. In addition 167 observational counts were conducted in Lincoln County.
- Across all 3 counties, 39% of the population was sheltered with the balance (61%) being unsheltered. By county, 31.7%, 45.0%, and 39.6% of the population was sheltered in Lincoln, Benton, and Linn Counties respectively.
- 58.9% of the homeless population was male, 40.2% female, and 0.9% decline to state or other. The approximately 3:2 MALE:FEMALE ratio is higher than the generally accepted 50:50 MALE:FEMALE ration in the homeless population.
- The mean population age for MALE & FEMALE populations is similar at 42.2 yr (MALE) and 40.6 yr (FEMALE). The age distribution by gender, however, is different with FEMALES having a relatively low rate of homelessness until they reach the 36-40 yr. old age bracket. The frequency of MALE homelessness increased in a linear manner until age 50 before declining.
- Approximately 3% of the FEMALE population had served in the military while 16.8% of the MALE population reported being veterans.
- Slightly more than half of the population (both men and women) has been homeless for more than a year.
- The homeless community is less mobile than perhaps expected with 68.0% of the population having lived in the area for more than a year. Amongst the UNSHELTERED portion of population, the % of the group living in the community for a year or more increased to 80.6%.
- Ethnically the homeless population is predominantly NON-HISPANIC WHITE at 82.4%. NATIVE AMERICANS are over-represented relative to the general population at 8.2% for all 3 Counties. Representation of this group increases to 10.8% in Benton County
- The Lincoln County homeless population is estimated to be 750 – 1100 individuals while Benton (300 – 400) and Linn (500 – 675) have significantly smaller populations. On a per capita basis, the homeless rate in Lincoln County is approximately 1.5 – 2.2% of the population vs 0.3 – 0.5% for Linn and Benton Counties. The most recent unemployment statistics (April 2020) suggest that the true homelessness rate is probably significantly higher today than in January 2020.



METHODOLOGY:

- **TIME FRAME:** The Point in Time Count (PIT) is a federally mandated annual survey of the number and location of homeless individuals throughout the United States. The supervising agency for Linn, Benton, and Lincoln Counties is Community Services Consortium (CSC). The survey is conducted each January during a one week period. For 2020, the PIT count occurred during the last week in January.
- **METHODOLOGY:** Trained teams conducted interviews with homeless individuals on the street and in shelters. Information was collected on mobile devices with completed interviews transmitted at the end of each interview. The data collection program – COUNTING US - asked approximately 60 questions covering age, ethnicity, duration and frequency of homelessness, veteran status, and a range of other background questions. In addition to the interview questions, the GPS coordinates of the mobile device was recorded and submitted along with the interview information. At the beginning of the interview process, individuals were asked if they had previously been interviewed to minimize the possibility of people being interviewed more than once.
- **INTERVIEW VS OBSERVATION:** All reports from Linn and Benton Counties were based upon interviews with individuals either in shelter or on the street. The reports from Lincoln County contained a combination of interviews and observations. The observation reports had significant value for some purposes (e.g. geographic distribution of individuals) but minimal value for most other questions. Unless specifically noted, the Lincoln County statistics are based only on interview results.
- **DATA INTEGRITY & EDITING:** All data points were reviewed and edited before being evaluated. Non-sensical or uninterpretable responses were deleted. For example, the self identified ethnicity of BLUE was discarded along with racial identification of YES.
- **# OF RESPONSES:** Not all individuals answered all questions so the sample size may be less than the total # of reports for the county. For example, in Lincoln County there were 224 usable responses to SEX, 221 to the combined question of AGE & SEX and 197 answers to the question about VETERAN status.



METHODOLOGY (CONT.):

- **LOCATIONS:** The types of data collection sites differed significantly by county and reflected the demographics of the homeless population in each area. Specifics:
 - **LINCOLN COUNTY:** This was the most geographically dispersed population with population concentrations in Lincoln City, Depoe Bay, Newport, Toledo, and Walport. While some smaller populations were undoubtedly missed, the results likely reflect most of the concentrations of homeless individuals in the county
 - **BENTON COUNTY:** With the exception of a few more rural observations, the majority of observations came from Corvallis. Homeless populations (if present) in Philomath, Monroe, and Alsea were not evaluated.
 - **LINN COUNTY:** Significant populations were interviewed in Albany, Lebanon, Sweet Home and Mill City. A few more rural individuals were also identified.
 - **DISTRIBUTION:** The geographic distribution of observations in all three counties is likely a good reflection of the relative population size and distribution of homeless individuals in all 3 counties. (Figure 1-3)
 - **# OBSERVATIONS:** The total number of observations (Figure 2)
 - **Lincoln County:** 414 Total Observations: 247 interviews + 167 observations
 - **Benton County:** 248 interviews
 - **Linn County:** 327 interviews
- **SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED:**
 - Individuals on the street were asked if they were staying in a shelter or on the street. If the interview was conducted in a shelter, the assumption was that they were also staying in the shelter and were classified as housed (SHELTERED)
 - **SHELTERS:**
 - **BENTON COUNTY:** ROOM@INN, CENTER AGAINST RAPE & DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, JACKSON STREET YOUTH SHELTER, MEN'S COLD WEATHER SHELTER, COI
 - **LINN COUNTY:** ALBANY HELPING HANDS, SIGNS OF VICTORY, JACKSON STREET YOUTH SHELTER
 - **LINCOLN COUNTY:** GRACE WINDS, SAMARITAN HOUSE, MOTEL/HOTEL
- **APPENDIX:** The source data for the 3 counties is shown in Appendices A, B, and C.




Figure 1: Distribution of homeless individuals and # of observations by county for 2020 PIT count.









Figure 2: Location of sheltered and unsheltered individuals.

Lebanon



Newport



Sweet Home

Figure 3: Distribution of homeless individuals in Sweet Home, Newport, and Lebanon. Each green tent represents an unsheltered individual. Yellow markers indicate a sheltered individual



Gender:

- A total of 951 observations and interviews were available. This number includes 167 presumptive gender identifications in Lincoln County. Individuals classified as anything other than MALE or FEMALE were excluded from the ratio computations.
- The generally accepted ratio of MALE:FEMALE in the homeless population is approximately 50:50
- For the 3-county population, the MALE: FEMALE ratio was 59.5% : 40.5% or approximately a **3:2 MALE to FEMALE ratio**. Given the relatively large sample size, the ratio is likely to be an accurate reflection of the actual population computation and not the result of sampling protocols
- The differences in MALE : FEMALE ratios varied by county with Lincoln County being the closest to a 50:50 (1:1) ratio while the MALE:FEMALE ratio in Linn County was approximately 3:2. In Benton County the differences in MALE:FEMALE ratios was most pronounced at approximately 2:1.
- The results suggest that **for Lincoln County, planning should be based upon the assumption of approximately equal numbers of men and women while in Benton County, planning should be skewed towards a relatively higher percentage of males in the population. Linn County sits intermediate between Lincoln and Benton Counties in the ratio of men to women in the population.**

Lincoln County

	#	%
MALE	215	53.8%
FEMALE	179	44.8%
OTHER	6	1.5%
TOTAL RESPONSE	400	100.0%

Benton County

	#	%
MALE	152	65.2%
FEMALE	79	33.9%
OTHER	2	0.9%
TOTAL RESPONSE	233	100.0%

Linn County

	#	%
MALE	193	60.7%
FEMALE	124	39.0%
OTHER	1	0.3%
TOTAL RESPONSE	318	100.0%



Age:

- A total of 690 usable reports were available (251 female & 439 male).
- For simplicity of presentation, the results are shown as a percentage of the population being shown. The combined data set (male & female) represents a predictable – and somewhat inaccurate – view of the population.
- For the combined male / female population, the percentage representation in the population increased steadily to a peak in the 41 – 45 year old bracket followed by a notable decline after age 60. (Figure 4a)
- When separated into MALE and FEMALE populations (Figures 4 b,c) the story changes with **relatively fewer females being homeless until after age 35 when the % representation in the general population is higher than in the males.**
- In contrast, the % of males in the homeless population increases steadily until 41-45 years of age, remains relatively constant until about 60 and then declines rapidly

- **The age distribution of the female population is distinctly different with a steady decline in % representation in the population after age 45 and relatively more older men than women in the groups.**
- A better understanding of the different age profiles would be useful in developing age specific programs.






Figure 4a,b,c: Age distribution of the combined population (men & women) (above – 4a) and separated into male and female populations (right- 4b,c)



Age (cont.):

- The age distribution did not vary significantly from county to county with the possible exception that the male population in Benton County may be slightly older than the population in the adjacent counties. The functional value of this observation is probably minimal.
- An older male population in Benton County is consistent with results from the Men’s Cold Weather Shelter that has had a mean population age of 44-46 for the past 5+ years.

POPULATION AGE (YR.) - ALL LOCATIONS

	MEN & WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN
Mean	41.6	42.2	40.6
Median	43.0	44.0	42.0

POPULATION AGE (YR.) - BY COUNTY

	LINCOLN		BENTON		LINN	
	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN
Mean	41.1	40.7	44.0	39.4	41.6	41.6
Median	42.0	43.0	46.0	41.0		

Age (cont.):

- When the population was separated into MALE & FEMALE and then further subdivided into SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED populations an interesting age difference appears in both Linn and Benton counties. In both counties there are shelter facilities for single women with children (CARDV) in Corvallis and Jackson Street Youth in both Albany and Corvallis
- The data set in Figure 5 (right) from Linn County (similar to Benton) shows that the sheltered male and female populations in these counties are younger than the unsheltered population

AGE	SHELTERED		UNSHELTERED	
	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN
0-10	1	2	1	1
11-17	5	5	3	2
18-25	5	7	2	8
26-30	2	4	6	6
31-35	2	7	1	7
36-40	2	5	9	9
41-45	2	3	9	14
46-50	3	12	9	9
51-55	3	9	11	11
56-60	3	7	5	7
61-65	1	2	5	5
66-70	0	2	1	2
71-75	1	0	1	1
76-80	0	0	0	0
#	30	65	63	82
MEAN	36.5	40.3	44.1	42.8
MEDIAN	35.5	45.0	46.0	43.5

Figure 5: Age distribution by SEX and SHELTER status for Linn County



Age (cont.):

- Sorting age data by facility (Benton County) illustrates the differences in the served populations.
- For the unsheltered population (Figure 6 – top), the age distribution is similar to the pooled population shown in Figure 4a, with the bulk of the population being middle age
- The MCWS shelter (Figure 6) had a distinctly bi-modal distribution at the time the PIT count was made. When the full season data set for 2020 is evaluated it shows a distribution pattern similar to that observed in Figure 4b for all MALES.
- The age distribution for Room@Inn showed a relatively young population at the time the survey was taken. For the full season the mean age is about 45.
- The age distributions for COI and CARDV reflect the nature of the clients they serve
- The bi-modal age distribution pattern seen in the MCWS illustrates the danger of overinterpretation of information collected at one point in time.








Figure 6: Age distribution in Benton County when sorted by shelter status. The MCWS, Room@Inn, COI, and CARDV are considered SHELTERED. Jackson Street Youth was not graphed due to the small number of observations



Sheltered vs Unsheltered:

- 689 individuals provided both sex and shelter status information
- As a group, 268 of 689 or 39% were housed.
- On a county basis, **31.7% of the population was housed in Lincoln County, 39.6% in Linn County and 45.0% in Benton County.** Within less than a month after the survey was completed, the Lincoln County shelter closed.
- The overall % of the male and female populations in shelter was 41.0% : 37.7%
- The % of the male & female population in shelter differed significantly by County with 26.6%, 41.5%, and 44.2% of the men’s population and 40.5%, 51.9%, and 32.3% women’s population being sheltered in Lincoln, Benton, and Linn Counties respectively.
- **The most notable county to county difference was in the % of women housed in Benton County (51.9%) – mostly the result of a women’s only shelter facility at Room@Inn.**

Lincoln County

	WOMEN	MEN	
SHELTERED	32	37	69
NON-SHELTERED	47	102	149
TOTAL	79	139	218

	WOMEN	MEN	
SHELTERED	14.7%	17.0%	31.7%
NON-SHELTERED	21.6%	46.8%	68.3%
TOTAL	36.2%	63.8%	100.0%

Benton County

	WOMEN	MEN	
SHELTERED	41	63	104
NON-SHELTERED	38	89	127
TOTAL	79	152	231

	WOMEN	MEN	
SHELTERED	17.7%	27.3%	45.0%
NON-SHELTERED	16.5%	38.5%	55.0%
TOTAL	34.2%	65.8%	100.0%

Linn County

	WOMEN	MEN	
SHELTERED	30	65	95
NON-SHELTERED	63	82	145
TOTAL	93	147	240

	WOMEN	MEN	
SHELTERED	12.5%	27.1%	39.6%
NON-SHELTERED	26.3%	34.2%	60.4%
TOTAL	38.8%	61.3%	100.0%



Veteran Status:

- Usable responses were obtained from 667 individuals (Lincoln = 194; Benton = 191; Linn = 282)
- Of 232 responses from **women**, 7 indicated they were **veterans (3.0%)**. Five (5) of the 7 FEMALE veterans were living in Linn County.
- Of 435 **MALE responses**, **73 (16.8%)** reported having served in the military.
- The distribution of MALE veterans in the population varied significantly by county. In **Lincoln County**, 18 of 125 individuals were veterans **(14.1%)**; in **Benton County** 35 of 137 individuals were vets **(25.6%)** while in **Linn County**, 20 of 173 indicated that they had served in the military **(11.6%)**
- The high % of vets in the Benton County population is substantially higher than the 15.8% of the population (28 of 177 clients) seen by the MCWS during the 2019 / 2020 season.
- The percentage of veterans in the MCWS population has averaged 16.2% over the past 7 years (range: 11.4% - 19.5%). The relatively consistent % of vets in the MCWS data base – 16.2% - suggests that the 25.6% incidence of vets in the PIT study may have been an anomaly.
- Results from Lincoln and Linn Counties coupled with the MCWS data suggests that while there may indeed be county to county differences – **a range of 12% to 18% probably captures the % of the homeless population that has served in the military.**
- When the male population was sorted by housing (SHELTERED vs NON-SHELTERED), 26 of 191 individuals in SHELTER were vets (13.6%) vs 48 of 316 (15.2%) of the UNSHELTERED population who had served in the military. **The aggregate results suggest that an approximately similar percentage of the veteran population is SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED.**

Duration of Homelessness:

- Usable responses were obtained from 667 participants.
- One component of HUD's definitions of chronic homelessness is a duration of >1 yr. **Combining categories into < 1yr and >1yr duration, 58.2% of the population (3 counties) was homeless for greater than a year with no substantial difference between the male (59.7%) and female (54.7%) populations.**
- The duration of homelessness for all individuals is somewhat different when examined by county with Lincoln having the lowest % of long term homeless individuals (53.6%) vs 59.8% for Linn County, and 62.1% in Benton County. The results suggest that a higher percentage of the Lincoln County population is recently homeless whereas Benton County has a relatively larger chronically homeless population.



Duration of Homelessness (cont.):

- The combined results suggest that **the % of all individuals being homeless for > 1 yr is in the 55-65% range for both men and women.**
- When sorted by SHELTERED vs UNSHELTERED populations the results are confusing when examined county by county. For Lincoln County, about 60% of the SHELTERED population has been homeless **for less than a year** whereas in Benton County, the opposite appears to be true with about 60% of the SHELTERED population being homeless **for more than a year**. There is no obvious explanation for the difference although the different program focuses (e.g. Albany Helping Hands and Signs of Victory offering year round housing vs MCWS seasonal operations) may be a factor.

Lincoln County

Benton County

Linn County

CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			
	ALL	MEN	WOMEN
< 1 YR	46.4%	41.6%	56.8%
1 YR PLUS	53.6%	58.4%	43.2%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			
	ALL	MEN	WOMEN
< 1 YR	37.9%	37.4%	40.5%
1 YR PLUS	62.1%	62.6%	59.5%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			
	ALL	MEN	WOMEN
< 1 YR	40.2%	41.1%	39.0%
1 YR PLUS	59.8%	58.9%	61.0%
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS		
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
< 1 YR	60.3%	39.7%
1 YR PLUS	39.7%	60.3%
	100.0%	100.0%

CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS		
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
< 1 YR	37.8%	37.9%
1 YR PLUS	62.2%	62.1%
	100.0%	100.0%

CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS		
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
< 1 YR	46.5%	34.0%
1 YR PLUS	53.5%	66.0%
	100.0%	100.0%



Times Homeless in the Past 3 Years

- The goal of the question – “How many times have you been homeless in the past 3 years” was probably to address the question of people of how frequently people cycle between being housed for short periods of time and losing housing.
- Of the 393 usable responses across 3 counties, 268 individuals (68.2%) reported being homeless 3 or fewer times in the previous 3 years. The results indicate that about 1/3 of the population has cycled rapidly through housing (4 or more times) while approximately 2/3 of the group had experienced homelessness on 3 or fewer occasions and presumptively has had a somewhat more stable housing history.
- Discussions with clients at the MCWS suggested some confusion on this question with one individual noting that he had only been homeless one time during the past 3 years – it was just it had been full time homelessness for that period of time.
- When sorted by GENDER, women overall had been homeless less than 4 times at a higher rate (74.8%) vs men (62.9%).
- It is also interesting to note that when the population was sorted by SHELTERED vs UNSHELTERED that the SHELTERED population overall had been homeless fewer times (less than 4 times) in comparison to the higher frequency of homelessness population (4 or more times) – 73.0% vs 65.0% respectively. The results are consistent with the idea that if individuals are unable to maintain stable housing (4 or more times homeless in 3 years) that they are probably less likely to be able to successfully live in a shelter environment.

# TIMES HOMELESS IN PAST 3 YEARS:							
# TIMES HOMELESS BY GENDER				% OF POPULATION			
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	268	173	92	LESS THAN 4 TIMES	68.2%	62.9%	74.8%
4 OR MORE TIMES	125	102	31	4 OR MORE TIMES	31.8%	37.1%	25.2%
TOTAL	393	275	123	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
# TIMES HOMELESS BY HOUSING STATUS				% OF POPULATION			
	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED		SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED		
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	116	152	LESS THAN 4 TIMES	73.0%	65.0%		
4 OR MORE TIMES	43	82	4 OR MORE TIMES	27.0%	35.0%		
TOTAL	159	234	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%		



Times Homeless in the Past 3 Years (cont.)

- The frequency of homelessness data gets more confusing when examined on a county basis
- The % of women being homeless less than 4 times varied from 60.7% (Lincoln) to 75.0% (Benton) to 80.9% (Linn). For men, the % of individuals being homeless less than 4 times was 47.1% (Lincoln), 73.6% (Benton) and 65.0% (Linn).
- The data set is sufficiently robust to suggest that there may be significant structural differences in the makeup of the homeless population in Lincoln vs Benton / Linn counties.

Lincoln County

	% OF POPULATION		
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	55.7%	47.1%	60.7%
4 OR MORE TIMES	44.3%	52.9%	39.3%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% OF POPULATION	
	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	82.8%	45.5%
4 OR MORE TIMES	17.2%	54.5%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%

Benton County

	% OF POPULATION		
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	73.3%	73.6%	75.0%
4 OR MORE TIMES	23.7%	23.1%	22.2%
DON'T KNOW	3.1%	3.3%	2.8%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% OF POPULATION	
	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	77.8%	70.1%
4 OR MORE TIMES	20.0%	25.3%
DON'T KNOW	2.2%	4.6%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%

Linn County

	% OF POPULATION		
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	70.6%	65.0%	80.0%
4 OR MORE TIMES	29.4%	35.0%	20.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

	% OF POPULATION	
	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	66.3%	75.7%
4 OR MORE TIMES	33.7%	24.3%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%



Time in Community

- One of the more politically charged, and relatively poorly understood, aspects of the homeless community is how long they have lived in the community.
- The PIT count asked individuals to select a time range reflecting how long they had been in the community.
- 699 responses across 3 counties reported that **68.0% of the population had lived in the community for at least one year**. The pattern was similar for men and women with approximately 2/3 of each group being a member of their local community for >1 yr.
- When sorted by SHELTERED vs UNSHELTERED, the most significant result **was the high percentage of UNSHELTERED individuals (80.6%) who had been in the community for a year or more**. It is also interesting to note the percentage of SHELTERED (37.6%) vs UNSHELTERED (19.4%) individuals who had lived in the community for less than a year.
- Possible explanations for the difference in SHELTERED vs UNSHELTERED population in town for less than a year are a) higher shelter use by transient individuals and/or b) the seasonal characteristic of some shelters necessitating movement to camping when the shelters close.

TIME IN COMMUNITY:											
TIME IN COMMUNITY BY GENDER				% OF POPULATION			% OF POPULATION				
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	TIME	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL	MEN	WOMEN
0-3 MO.	106	65	39	0-3 MO.	15.2%	16.0%	13.5%	< 1 YR	32.0%	31.6%	32.6%
4-6 MO.	52	29	23	4-6 MO.	7.4%	7.2%	8.0%	1 YR PLUS	68.0%	68.4%	67.4%
7-11 MO.	66	34	32	7-11 MO.	9.4%	8.4%	11.1%				
1-2 YR.	128	72	55	1-2 YR.	18.3%	17.8%	19.1%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
3 YR. OR MORE	347	205	139	3 YR. OR MORE	49.6%	50.6%	48.3%				
TOTAL	699	405	288	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS				% OF POPULATION			TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS				
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		TIME	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		
0-3 MO.	56	41		0-3 MO.	19.0%	9.4%	< 1 YR	37.6%	19.4%		
4-6 MO.	22	22		4-6 MO.	7.5%	5.0%	1 YR PLUS	62.4%	80.6%		
7-11 MO.	33	22		7-11 MO.	11.2%	5.0%					
1-2 YR.	51	73		1-2 YR.	17.3%	16.7%		100.0%	100.0%		
3 YR. OR MORE	133	280		3 YR. OR MORE	45.1%	63.9%					
TOTAL	295	438	733	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%					



Time in Community (cont.)

- There do appear to be some real differences in TIME IN COMMUNITY when examined by County.
- Amongst the UNSHELTERED population, 88.7% of the individuals in Linn County had lived in the community for a year or more suggesting that the population is stable and likely local in origin.
- In contrast, the Benton population had the lowest (but still high 72.9%) % of UNSHELTERED individuals who had been in the community for a year or more.
- The results indicate that between 70% and 90% of the UNSHELTER individuals in all 3 counties have lived in the community for at least a year strongly arguing against the sentiment that the population is highly mobile and moves around quickly in response to changes in services.

Lincoln County

TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS		
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
< 1 YR	43.8%	20.8%
1 YR PLUS	56.2%	79.2%
	100.0%	100.0%

Benton County

TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS		
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
< 1 YR	40.0%	27.1%
1 YR PLUS	60.0%	72.9%
	100.0%	100.0%

Linn County

TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS		
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
< 1 YR	33.6%	11.3%
1 YR PLUS	66.4%	88.7%
	100.0%	100.0%



Ethnicity:

- Useable responses were obtained for 790 individuals.
- **The ethnic make up in the 3 county region is predominantly NON-HISPANIC WHITE with 82.4% self identifying as WHITE.**
- The next most frequently identified ethnic group was NATIVE AMERICAN at 8.2%. The representation of this group varied by county with Lincoln (8.0%) and Benton (10.8%) while Linn had a lower NATIVE AMERICAN representation at 4.6%
- When sorted by MALE vs FEMALE for 3 counties it becomes apparent that the male population has a lower % non-HISPANIC WHITE population at 72.4% vs a 79.5% representation in the FEMALE population
- When sorted by SHELTERED vs NON-SHELTERED the only notable difference was a somewhat higher NATIVE AMERICAN representation in the UNSHELTERED population
- Overall about 10% of the population identified as being of more than one ethnic group
- Benton County was significantly more ethnically diverse than either Lincoln or Linn Counties. Less than 70% of the population ethnically identified as NON-HISPANIC WHITE.
- **10.8% of the entire Benton County population identified as AMERICAN NATIVE. This figure increased to 12.0% in the MALE population.** Dated information (2010) on the Benton County web site reported NATIVE AMERICANS to comprise 0.7% of the county population.

ETHNICITY:			
USEABLE RESPONSES:	790		
	%		
WHITE	651	82.4%	
HISPANIC	65	8.2%	
BLACK / AF.	25	3.2%	
NATIVE AME	65	8.2%	
PACIFIC ISLA	21	2.7%	
ASIAN	10	1.3%	
OTHER	34	4.3%	
TOTAL	871	110.3%	

MORE THAN 1 RACE:	#	% RESPONSE
	81	10.3%

	MALE	%	FEMALE	%	
WHITE	391	72.4%	245	79.5%	WHITE
HISPANIC	43	8.0%	21	6.8%	HISPANIC
BLACK / AF.	17	3.1%	7	2.3%	BLACK / AF. AMER.
NATIVE AME	43	8.0%	22	7.1%	NATIVE AMER
PACIFIC ISLA	17	3.1%	4	1.3%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS
ASIAN	10	1.9%	0	0.0%	ASIAN
OTHER	19	3.5%	9	2.9%	OTHER
TOTAL	540	100.0%	308	100.0%	TOTAL

	SHELTERED	%	UNSHELTERED	%	
WHITE	273	73.8%	380	75.8%	WHITE
HISPANIC	39	10.5%	24	4.8%	HISPANIC
BLACK / AF.	11	3.0%	14	2.8%	BLACK / AF. AMER.
NATIVE AME	20	5.4%	45	9.0%	NATIVE AMER
PACIFIC ISLA	3	0.8%	18	3.6%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS
ASIAN	7	1.9%	3	0.6%	ASIAN
OTHER	17	4.6%	17	3.4%	OTHER
TOTAL	370	100.0%	501	100.0%	TOTAL



Disability Status:

- Two blocks of survey questions were related to major disabilities and substance abuse.
- Major disabilities issues were grouped as a) HEALTH, b) DEVELOPMENTAL, c) MENTAL, d) PHYSICAL, and e) HIV.
- Substance abuse categories were a) NONE, b) ALCOHOL, c) DRUGS, and d) ALCOHOL and DRUGS. It is not clear how marijuana was categorized at the time of survey.
- Due to the difficulty of processing NOT KNOW or REFUSED responses, only YES or NO responses were evaluated.
- The most striking results are the high percentage of individuals with significant, self reported, problems with **health (41.5%), mental (45.7%) or physical (38.6%) problems**
- The low reported incidence of HIV infections may reflect either a) a truly low presence of the disease in this population or b) an unwillingness to publicly report their status.
- When the number of affirmative answers to the major disability questions were summed, it is interesting to note that about a quarter of the population had no problems, just under an additional 25% had one significant problem, with over half the population having two or more major disabilities. **When combined, about 75% of the population reported having one or more major disabilities**
- The other somewhat surprising result was the relatively low incidence of self reported drug and/or alcohol abuse. Work at cold weather shelters suggests that the incidence of substance abuse – at least in the male population – is considerably higher than a third.
- No substantial differences were noted in the disability patterns when sorted by GENDER or SHELTER STATUS or COUNTY.

SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)			
	%		
	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%
DEVELOP.	81.5%	18.5%	100.0%
MENTAL	54.3%	45.7%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%
HIV	99.6%	0.4%	100.0%

SUBSTANCE ABUSE					
	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOHOL + DRUGS	TOTAL
	477	59	105	77	718
	66.4%	8.2%	14.6%	10.7%	100.0%

# DISABILITIES								% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	0	1	>1	TOTAL
#	183	160	188	126	69	16	742	24.7%	21.6%	53.8%	100.0%



Population Size:

- Accurate estimates of the total size of a homeless population is extremely difficult due to a) double counting and b) incomplete counting. Double counting is likely not a significant problem in the PIT count since individuals were specifically asked if they had previously been interviewed.
- The extent of incomplete counting is particularly difficult to estimate since you never definitively know what you don't know.
- Empirical observations suggest that the number of individuals counted on the streets only represents 1/3 to 1/2 of the actual population. It seems likely that in regions with relatively well identified camps – e.g. Benton County – that the counts are more complete and 50% of the population is probably a good estimation. For regions like Lincoln County where individuals are far more widely dispersed and the camp sites less well defined, 1/3 of the actual population being measured is probably a better estimation.
- SHELTERED individuals are assumed to represent 100% of this group.
- **Lincoln County has a homeless population that is twice to three times the size of the Benton County population and 1.5 – 2.0X the size of the Linn County population**

POPULATION ESTIMATES:							
		#	Estimated % of Population Counted		Estimated Total Population Size		
			LOW	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	
LINCOLN							
	INTERVIEWED - SHELTERED	73	100%	100%	73	73	
	INTERVIEWED - UNSHELTERED	174	33%	50%	527	348	(RANGE: 750-1100)
	OBSERVED	167	33%	50%	506	334	
	TOTAL				1106	755	
BENTON							
	INTERVIEWED - SHELTERED	108	100%	100%	108	108	
	INTERVIEWED - UNSHELTERED	140	50%	67%	280	209	(RANGE: 300-400)
	TOTAL				388	317	
LINN							
	INTERVIEWED - SHELTERED	154	100%	100%	154	154	
	INTERVIEWED - UNSHELTERED	172	33%	50%	521	344	(RANGE: 500 - 675)
	TOTAL				675	498	




Population Size (cont.):

- The 2019 US Census Bureau data set was used for the population size of the three counties.
- When the estimated size of the homeless population is calculated as a percentage of the total population it appears that somewhere between **1.5% and 2.2% of the Lincoln County population is currently homeless** in comparison to approximately similar per capita homeless rates **for Benton and Linn Counties (0.3 – 0.5%)**.

	POPULATION	% POPULATION HOMELESS	
		LOW	HIGH
LINCOLN	49,962	1.50%	2.20%
BENTON	93,053	0.32%	0.43%
LINN	129,749	0.39%	0.52%

Impact of Unemployment:

- The PIT count was conducted in January 2020 prior to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic in Oregon.
- The unemployment statistics for the 3 counties are from the State of Oregon Employment Department and show in graphical and tabular form the rapid increase in unemployment across the 3 county region.
- Of particular note is the Lincoln County data showing a county wide increase in unemployment from 931 in January to 5,496 in April – the most recently available month. These changes suggest that even the relatively high % of the population identified as homeless in January 2020 is likely significantly higher as of the time of this report in June 2020.
- For all 3 counties, the need for winter shelter in 2020/2021 will likely be substantial higher than during the 2019/2020 season



Source: Oregon Employment Department Qualityinfo.org



Impact of Unemployment (cont.)

- The unemployment statistics (# unemployed in the county) for the 3 county area are shown below

Lincoln County

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
2017	1,164	1,124	1,092	977	900	1,015	1,047	1,005	909	908	944	1,002	1,007
2018	1,203	1,135	1,102	962	869	1,012	1,015	942	860	924	999	1,059	1,007
2019	1,194	1,092	1,077	914	802	956	938	899	718	723	761	742	901
2020	931	939	960	5,496	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Benton County

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
2017	1,628	1,661	1,688	1,366	1,346	1,808	1,881	1,788	1,529	1,396	1,342	1,329	1,564
2018	1,490	1,632	1,563	1,308	1,233	1,695	1,703	1,648	1,425	1,486	1,395	1,380	1,497
2019	1,608	1,621	1,653	1,370	1,267	1,643	1,714	1,627	1,318	1,142	1,026	987	1,415
2020	1,249	1,362	1,453	4,918	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Linn County

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
2017	3,113	2,992	2,970	2,640	2,460	2,780	2,953	2,940	2,546	2,506	2,459	2,535	2,741
2018	3,068	3,079	2,951	2,616	2,269	2,742	2,841	2,786	2,422	2,531	2,599	2,625	2,711
2019	3,144	3,109	2,959	2,604	2,316	2,687	2,792	2,607	2,103	2,056	1,993	1,978	2,529
2020	2,535	2,494	2,690	9,469	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Domestic Violence:

- The incidence of domestic violence varied between 6.5% (Lincoln) to 10.0% (Linn) and 14.0% (Benton)

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



TOTAL # RESPONSES:		414	
	#	%	
INTERVIEW - HOUSED	73	17.6%	
INTERVIEW- UNHOUSED	174	42.0%	
OBSERVED	167	40.3%	
TOTAL	414	100.0%	

LOCATIONS:		#	%	Lincoln County	
GRACE WINDS	39	16.5%	SHELTERED	72	30.4%
SAMARITAN HOUSE	24	10.1%	UNSHELTERED	165	69.6%
MOTEL / HOTEL	9	3.8%	TOTAL:	237	100.0%
UNSHELTERED	165	69.6%			
TOTAL	237	100.0%			

LOCATIONS BY CITY		#	%
DEPOE BAY	6	1.5%	
LINCOLN CITY	183	45.8%	
NEWPORT	112	28.0%	
TOLEDO	19	4.8%	
WALDPORT	80	20.0%	
TOTAL	400	100.0%	

GENDER:		ALL OBSERVATIONS		INTERVIEWS ONLY		
	#	%		#	%	
MALE	215	53.8%	MALE: FEMALE RATIO - ABOUT 1:1	MALE	149	62.1%
FEMALE	179	44.8%		FEMALE	88	36.7%
OTHER	6	1.5%		OTHER	3	1.3%
TOTAL RESPONSE	400	100.0%		TOTAL RESPO	240	100.0%





SEX DISTRIBUTION BY LOCATION:		MALE	FEMALE	OTHER	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	OTHER	TOTAL
DEPOE BAY	4	2	0	6	DEPOE BAY	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%
LINCOLN CITY	98	80	5	183	LINCOLN CITY	53.6%	43.7%	2.7%	100.0%
NEWPORT	65	46	1	112	NEWPORT	58.0%	41.1%	0.9%	100.0%
TOLEDO	9	10	0	19	TOLEDO	47.4%	52.6%	0.0%	100.0%
WALDPORT	39	41	0	80	WALDPORT	48.8%	51.3%	0.0%	100.0%
TOTAL	215	179	6	400					

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data




AGE DISTRIBUTION (ALL RECORDS):

	ALL	%
0-10	15	6.7%
11-17	10	4.5%
18-25	14	6.3%
26-30	18	8.0%
31-35	12	5.4%
36-40	28	12.5%
41-45	32	14.3%
46-50	24	10.7%
51-55	24	10.7%
56-60	22	9.8%
61-65	15	6.7%
66-70	5	2.2%
71-75	5	2.2%
76-80	0	0.0%
TOTAL	224	100.0%
MEAN AGE	41.1	
MEDIAN AGE	42.0	



Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



HOUSING STATUS BY GENDER												
	WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN	MEN		MEN		WOMEN			
SHELTERED	32	37	69	SHELTERED	40.5%	26.6%	SHELTERED	37	26.6%	SHELTERED	32	40.5%
NON-SHELTERED	47	102	149	NON-SHELTERED	59.5%	73.4%	NON-SHELTERED	102	73.4%	NON-SHELTERED	47	59.5%
TOTAL	79	139	218	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL	139	100.0%	TOTAL	79	100.0%

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



AGE DISTRIBUTION BY GENDER AND HOUSING STATUS					(% AGE DISTRIBUTION FOR SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED MEN			(% AGE DISTRIBUTION FOR SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED MEN		
AGE	SHELTERED		UNSHELTERED		AGE	MEN		AGE	WOMEN	
	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
0-10	8	6	0	1	0-10	16.2%	1.0%	0-10	25.0%	0.0%
11-17	3	4	0	3	11-17	10.8%	2.9%	11-17	9.4%	0.0%
18-25	5	1	1	7	18-25	2.7%	6.9%	18-25	15.6%	2.1%
26-30	0	2	3	13	26-30	5.4%	12.7%	26-30	0.0%	6.4%
31-35	1	0	2	9	31-35	0.0%	8.8%	31-35	3.1%	4.3%
36-40	6	3	5	14	36-40	8.1%	13.7%	36-40	18.8%	10.6%
41-45	3	5	7	15	41-45	13.5%	14.7%	41-45	9.4%	14.9%
46-50	1	2	9	12	46-50	5.4%	11.8%	46-50	3.1%	19.1%
51-55	2	4	5	11	51-55	10.8%	10.8%	51-55	6.3%	10.6%
56-60	0	5	7	9	56-60	13.5%	8.8%	56-60	0.0%	14.9%
61-65	2	3	5	5	61-65	8.1%	4.9%	61-65	6.3%	10.6%
66-70	1	1	2	0	66-70	2.7%	0.0%	66-70	3.1%	4.3%
71-75	0	1	1	3	71-75	2.7%	2.9%	71-75	0.0%	2.1%
76-80	0	0	0	0	76-80	0.0%	0.0%	76-80	0.0%	0.0%
#	32	37	47	102	218	>80		>80		
MEAN	28.8	38.2	48.7	41.8		100.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%
MEDIAN	28.5	43.0	49.0	41.0						

VET STATUS:	MEN VS WOMEN			MEN VS WOMEN		
	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL
NO	68	107	175	35.1%	55.2%	90.2%
YES	1	18	19	0.5%	9.3%	9.8%
TOTAL	69	125	194	35.6%	64.4%	100.0%

	MEN		WOMEN	
	#	%	#	%
NO	107	85.6%	68	98.6%
YES	18	14.4%	1	1.4%
TOTAL	125	100.0%	69	100.0%

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



DURATION OF HOMELESSNESS:

TIME	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS				
					ALL	MEN	WOMEN		ALL	MEN	WOMEN
0-3 MO.	30	18	11								
4-6 MO.	39	20	19	< 1 YR	104	57	46	< 1 YR	46.4%	41.6%	56.8%
7-11 MO.	35	19	16	1 YR PLUS	120	80	35	1 YR PLUS	53.6%	58.4%	43.2%
1-2 YR.	63	41	18								
3 YR. OR MORE	57	39	17								
					224	137	81		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TOTAL	224	137	81								

Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.

TIME	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED		CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			
			SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		
0-3 MO.	20	10						
4-6 MO.	13	26	< 1 YR	44	60	< 1 YR	60.3%	39.7%
7-11 MO.	11	24	1 YR PLUS	29	91	1 YR PLUS	39.7%	60.3%
1-2 YR.	22	41						
3 YR. OR MORE	7	50						
				73	151		100.0%	100.0%
TOTAL	73	151						

TIMES HOMELESS IN PAST 3 YEARS:

	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	% OF POPULATION			
				ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	59	41	17	LESS THAN 4 TIMES	55.7%	47.1%	60.7%
4 OR MORE TIMES	47	46	11	4 OR MORE TIMES	44.3%	52.9%	39.3%
TOTAL	106	87	28	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.

TIMES HOMELESS BY HOUSING STATUS

	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED	% OF POPULATION		
			SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED	
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	24	35	LESS THAN 4 TIMES	82.8%	45.5%
4 OR MORE TIMES	5	42	4 OR MORE TIMES	17.2%	54.5%
TOTAL	29	77	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



TIME IN COMMUNITY:								% OF POPULATION			
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	TIME	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL	MEN	WOMEN
0-3 MO.	27	14	11	0-3 MO.	11.9%	10.0%	13.6%	< 1 YR	28.2%	25.7%	32.1%
4-6 MO.	11	7	4	4-6 MO.	4.8%	5.0%	4.9%	1 YR PLUS	71.8%	74.3%	67.9%
7-11 MO.	26	15	11	7-11 MO.	11.5%	10.7%	13.6%				
1-2 YR.	41	25	15	1-2 YR.	18.1%	17.9%	18.5%				
3 YR. OR MORE	122	79	40	3 YR. OR MORE	53.7%	56.4%	49.4%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
TOTAL	227	140	81	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.

TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS

			% OF POPULATION		TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS			
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	TIME	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
0-3 MO.	16	11	0-3 MO.	21.9%	7.1%	< 1 YR	43.8%	20.8%
4-6 MO.	4	7	4-6 MO.	5.5%	4.5%	1 YR PLUS	56.2%	79.2%
7-11 MO.	12	14	7-11 MO.	16.4%	9.1%			
1-2 YR.	14	27	1-2 YR.	19.2%	17.5%		100.0%	100.0%
3 YR. OR MORE	27	95	3 YR. OR MORE	37.0%	61.7%			
TOTAL	73	154	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%			

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



ETHNICITY SORTED BY SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED														
			&											
			SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED				SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED					
USABLE RECORDS			155	147	302			USABLE RECORDS			51.3%	48.7%	100.0%	
			SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	TOTAL				SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	TOTAL			
WHITE	54	121	175			22.7%	50.8%	73.5%			WHITE			
HISPANIC	11	9	20			4.6%	3.8%	8.4%			HISPANIC			
BLACK / AF. AMER.	0	1	1			0.0%	0.4%	0.4%			BLACK / AF. AMER.			
NATIVE AMER	7	12	19			2.9%	5.0%	8.0%			NATIVE AMER			
PACIFIC ISLANDERS	0	7	7			0.0%	2.9%	2.9%			PACIFIC ISLANDERS			
ASIAN	1	0	1			0.4%	0.0%	0.4%			ASIAN			
OTHER	8	7	15			3.4%	2.9%	6.3%			OTHER			
TOTAL	81	157	238			TOTAL	34.0%	66.0%	100.0%					
Note: 3 individuals identified as OTHER SEX were excluded														
Multiple individuals identified as being mixed ethnicity														
			SHELTERED	%	UNSHELTERED	%								
WHITE	54	66.7%	121	77.1%	WHITE									
HISPANIC	11	13.6%	9	5.7%	HISPANIC									
BLACK / AF. AMER.	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	BLACK / AF. AMER.									
NATIVE AMER	7	8.6%	12	7.6%	NATIVE AMER									
PACIFIC ISLANDERS	0	0.0%	7	4.5%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS									
ASIAN	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	ASIAN									
OTHER	8	9.9%	7	4.5%	OTHER									
TOTAL	81	100.0%	157	100.0%	TOTAL									

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



DISABILITY STATUS:

SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)

	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	TOTAL
# RESPONSES			0

ALL RESPONSES

	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
HEALTH	153	62	0	6	221
DEVELOPMENTAL	190	18	0	4	212
MENTAL	154	57	0	5	216
PHYSICAL	149	65	0	4	218
HIV	212	3	0	2	217

SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)

	%		
	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	71.2%	28.8%	100.0%
DEVELOP	91.3%	8.7%	100.0%
MENTAL	73.0%	27.0%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	69.6%	30.4%	100.0%
HIV	98.6%	1.4%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

ALL	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	TOTAL
SUBSTANCE ABUSE	161	17	25	10	213
	75.6%	8.0%	11.7%	4.7%	100.0%

SUBSTANCE ABUSE	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	TOTAL
	75.6%	8.0%	11.7%	4.7%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

# DISABILITIES	0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
#	95	50	46	23	7	3	224

% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES				
	0	1	>1	TOTAL
	42.4%	22.3%	35.3%	100.0%

BENEFITS:	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!

HEALTH	NO	YES	TOTAL
	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

DISABILITY STATUS - SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED STATUS

SHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
HEALTH	50	19	0	1	70
DEVELOPMENTAL	57	7	0	1	65
MENTAL	57	9	0	2	68
PHYSICAL	51	18	0	1	70
HIV	68	0	0	1	69

SHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	72.5%	27.5%	100.0%
DEVELOP	89.1%	10.9%	100.0%
MENTAL	86.4%	13.6%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	73.9%	26.1%	100.0%
HIV	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

% OF POPULATION ANSWERING YES

	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
HEALTH	27.5%	29.5%
DEVELOP	10.9%	7.6%
MENTAL	13.6%	33.1%
PHYSICAL	26.1%	32.4%
HIV	0.0%	2.0%

UNSHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
HEALTH	103	43	0	5	151
DEVELOPMENTAL	133	11	0	3	147
MENTAL	97	48	0	3	148
PHYSICAL	98	47	0	3	148
HIV	144	3	0	2	149

UNSHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	70.5%	29.5%	100.0%
DEVELOP	92.4%	7.6%	100.0%
MENTAL	66.9%	33.1%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	67.6%	32.4%	100.0%
HIV	98.0%	2.0%	100.0%

	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	REFUSED	TOTAL
SHELTERED	55	4	3	2	4	68
UNSHELTERED	106	13	22	8	5	154

	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	TOTAL
SHELTERED	85.9%	6.3%	4.7%	3.1%	100.0%
UNSHELTERED	71.1%	8.7%	14.8%	5.4%	100.0%

222

# DISABILITIES	0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
SHELTERED	37	12	16	3	1	1	70
UNSHELTERED	58	38	30	20	6	2	154

% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES				
	0	1	>1	TOTAL
SHELTERED	52.9%	17.1%	30.0%	100.0%
UNSHELTERED	37.7%	24.7%	37.7%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

Appendix A – Lincoln County Source Data



DISABILITY STATUS - MALE VS FEMALE						
	WOMEN		MEN	TOTAL		
# RESPONSES	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!	#REF!		
	FEMALE					
	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	
HEALTH	55	22	0	1	78	
DEVELOPMENTAL	70	6	0	1	77	
MENTAL	54	21	0	1	76	
PHYSICAL	51	26	0	1	78	
HIV	76	1	0	0	77	
	FEMALE (%)					
	NO	YES	TOTAL			
HEALTH	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%			
DEVELOP	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%			
MENTAL	72.0%	28.0%	100.0%			
PHYSICAL	66.2%	33.8%	100.0%			
HIV	98.7%	1.3%	100.0%			
	% OF POPULATION ANSWERING YES					
	FEMALE		MALE			
HEALTH	28.6%		27.1%			
DEVELOP	7.9%		9.4%			
MENTAL	28.0%		24.4%			
PHYSICAL	33.8%		27.3%			
HIV	1.3%		1.5%			
	MALE					
	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	
HEALTH	97	36	0	4	137	
DEVELOPMENTAL	116	12	0	2	130	
MENTAL	99	32	0	3	134	
PHYSICAL	96	36	0	2	134	
HIV	133	2	0	1	136	
	MALE (%)					
	NO	YES	TOTAL			
HEALTH	72.9%	27.1%	100.0%			
DEVELOP	90.6%	9.4%	100.0%			
MENTAL	75.6%	24.4%	100.0%			
PHYSICAL	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%			
HIV	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%			
	Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded					
	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	REFUSED	TOTAL
FEMALE	59	3	11	2	3	78
MALE	98	13	14	8	5	138
	216					
	% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES					
	0	1	>1	TOTAL		
FEMALE	43.6%	19.2%	37.2%	100.0%		
MALE	42.9%	24.3%	32.9%	100.0%		
	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE:					
	NO	88.1%				
	YES	6.5%				
	DON'T KNOW	4.0%				
	REFUSED	1.5%				
TOTAL	201	100.0%				

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



TOTAL # RESPONSES:	248
---------------------------	-----

LOCATIONS:	#	%		
CARDV	9	3.6%	SHELTERED	43.5%
COI	35	14.1%	UNSHELTERED	56.5%
MENS SHELTER	44	17.7%		
ROOM AT THE INN	11	4.4%		
JACKSON STREET	9	3.6%		
NON-SHELTER	140	56.5%		
TOTAL	248	100.0%		





GENDER:	#	%		
MALE	152	65.2%	MALE: FEMALE RATIO - ABOUT 2:1	
FEMALE	79	33.9%		
OTHER	2	0.9%		
TOTAL RESPONSE	233	100.0%		

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data




AGE DISTRIBUTION (ALL RECORDS):

	ALL	%
0-10	10	4.3%
11-17	12	5.1%
18-25	13	5.5%
26-30	17	7.2%
31-35	20	8.5%
36-40	28	11.9%
41-45	31	13.2%
46-50	24	10.2%
51-55	18	7.7%
56-60	29	12.3%
61-65	23	9.8%
66-70	7	3.0%
71-75	2	0.9%
76-80	1	0.4%
TOTAL	235	100.0%
MEAN AGE	42.4	
MEDIAN AGE	44.0	



Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



HOUSING STATUS BY GENDER													
	WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN	MEN		
SHELTERED	41	63	104	SHELTERED	17.7%	27.3%	45.0%	SHELTERED	63	41.4%			
NON-SHELTERED	38	89	127	NON-SHELTERED	16.5%	38.5%	55.0%	NON-SHELTERED	89	58.6%	NON-SHELTERED	41	51.9%
TOTAL	79	152	231	TOTAL	34.2%	65.8%	100.0%	TOTAL	152	100.0%	TOTAL	79	100.0%

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



AGE DISTRIBUTION BY GENDER AND HOUSING STATUS					MEN'S WINTER SHELTER - CORVALLIS - 2019-2020 SEASON		(% AGE DISTRIBUTION FOR SHELTERED (MENS) VS UNSHELTERED MEN			
AGE	SHELTERED		UNSHELTERED		AGE	MEN	AGE	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	
	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN						
0-10	4	5	0	1	0-10	0	0-10	0.0%	1.1%	
11-17	7	5	0	0	11-17	1	11-17	0.6%	0.0%	
18-25	0	6	3	3	18-25	16	18-25	9.1%	3.4%	
26-30	4	4	2	7	26-30	21	26-30	11.9%	7.9%	
31-35	2	7	4	7	31-35	19	31-35	10.8%	7.9%	
36-40	7	5	6	10	36-40	21	36-40	11.9%	11.2%	
41-45	7	4	8	11	41-45	11	41-45	6.3%	12.4%	
46-50	2	4	4	13	46-50	23	46-50	13.1%	14.6%	
51-55	1	6	2	8	51-55	22	51-55	12.5%	9.0%	
56-60	3	8	5	13	56-60	19	56-60	10.8%	14.6%	
61-65	2	6	4	11	61-65	13	61-65	7.4%	12.4%	
66-70	1	1	0	5	66-70	7	66-70	4.0%	5.6%	
71-75	0	2	0	0	71-75	2	71-75	1.1%	0.0%	
76-80	1	0	0	0	76-80	0	76-80	0.0%	0.0%	
#	41	63	38	89	231	>80	1	>80	0.6%	0.0%
						#	176	100.0%	100.0%	
MEAN	35.5	40.0	43.7	46.8			44.1			
MEDIAN	37.0	39.0	43.5	47.0			45.0			

VET STATUS:	MEN VS WOMEN			MEN VS WOMEN		
	WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN	MEN	
NO	53	102	155	27.8%	53.4%	81.2%
YES	1	35	36	0.5%	18.3%	18.8%
TOTAL	54	137	191	28.3%	71.7%	100.0%
	MEN			WOMEN		
	#	%		#	%	
NO	102	74.5%		53	98.1%	
YES	35	25.5%		1	1.9%	
TOTAL	137	100.0%		54	100.0%	

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



DURATION OF HOMELESSNESS:												
TIME	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS					
					ALL	MEN	WOMEN		ALL	MEN	WOMEN	
0-3 MO.	29	22	6									
4-6 MO.	9	8	1	< 1 YR	50	34	15	< 1 YR	37.9%	37.4%	40.5%	
7-11 MO.	12	4	8	1 YR PLUS	82	57	22	1 YR PLUS	62.1%	62.6%	59.5%	
1-2 YR.	46	28	17									
3 YR. OR MORE	36	29	5		132	91	37		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
TOTAL	132	91	37									
Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.												
TIME	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED		CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS					
					SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED			
0-3 MO.	7	22										
4-6 MO.	4	5	< 1 YR	17	33	< 1 YR	37.8%	37.9%				
7-11 MO.	6	6	1 YR PLUS	28	54	1 YR PLUS	62.2%	62.1%				
1-2 YR.	19	27										
3 YR. OR MORE	9	27		45	87		100.0%	100.0%				
TOTAL	45	87										

# TIMES HOMELESS IN PAST 3 YEARS:				% OF POPULATION			
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	96	67	27	LESS THAN 4 TIMES	73.3%	73.6%	75.0%
4 OR MORE TIMES	31	21	8	4 OR MORE TIMES	23.7%	23.1%	22.2%
DON'T KNOW	4	3	1	DON'T KNOW	3.1%	3.3%	2.8%
TOTAL	131	91	36	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.

# TIMES HOMELESS BY HOUSING STATUS				% OF POPULATION				
	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED		SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED		SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	35	61	LESS THAN 4 TIMES	77.8%	70.1%			
4 OR MORE TIMES	9	22	4 OR MORE TIMES	20.0%	25.3%			
DON'T KNOW	1	4	DON'T KNOW	2.2%	4.6%			
TOTAL	45	87	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%			

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



TIME IN COMMUNITY:

				TIME	% OF POPULATION				% OF POPULATION		
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL	MEN	WOMEN
0-3 MO.	40	33	7	0-3 MO.	19.8%	22.4%	12.7%	< 1 YR	31.2%	31.3%	30.9%
4-6 MO.	14	7	7	4-6 MO.	6.9%	4.8%	12.7%	1 YR PLUS	68.8%	68.7%	69.1%
7-11 MO.	9	6	3	7-11 MO.	4.5%	4.1%	5.5%				
1-2 YR.	26	16	10	1-2 YR.	12.9%	10.9%	18.2%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
3 YR. OR MORE	113	85	28	3 YR. OR MORE	55.9%	57.8%	50.9%				
TOTAL	202	147	55	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.

TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS

			TIME	% OF POPULATION			% OF POPULATION	
	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
0-3 MO.	19	21	0-3 MO.	27.1%	15.8%	< 1 YR	40.0%	27.1%
4-6 MO.	6	8	4-6 MO.	8.6%	6.0%	1 YR PLUS	60.0%	72.9%
7-11 MO.	3	7	7-11 MO.	4.3%	5.3%			
1-2 YR.	7	19	1-2 YR.	10.0%	14.3%		100.0%	100.0%
3 YR. OR MORE	35	78	3 YR. OR MORE	50.0%	58.6%			
TOTAL	70	133	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%			

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



ETHNICITY SORTED BY SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED											
				&							
				SHELTERED		UNSHelterED					
USABLE RECORDS				109	139	248	USABLE RECORDS				
				44.0%	56.0%	100.0%					
		SHELTERED	UNSHelterED	TOTAL			SHELTERED	UNSHelterED	TOTAL		
WHITE		89	103	192		42.7%	25.4%	68.1%	WHITE		
HISPANIC		12	11	23		6.5%	1.8%	8.2%	HISPANIC		
BLACK / AF. AMER.		8	9	17		3.9%	1.8%	5.7%	BLACK / AF. AMER.		
NATIVE AMER		11	19	30		7.9%	2.9%	10.8%	NATIVE AMER		
PACIFIC ISLANDERS		3	5	8		2.5%	0.4%	2.9%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS		
ASIAN		4	1	5		1.8%	0.0%	1.8%	ASIAN		
OTHER		3	4	7		0.7%	1.8%	2.5%	OTHER		
TOTAL		130	152	282	TOTAL		65.9%	34.1%	100.0%		
Note: 3 individuals identified as OTHER SEX were excluded											
Multiple individuals identified as being mixed ethnicity											
		SHELTERED	%			UNSHelterED	%				
WHITE		89	68.5%		103	67.8%	WHITE				
HISPANIC		12	9.2%		11	7.2%	HISPANIC				
BLACK / AF. AMER.		8	6.2%		9	5.9%	BLACK / AF. AMER.				
NATIVE AMER		11	8.5%		19	12.5%	NATIVE AMER				
PACIFIC ISLANDERS		3	2.3%		5	3.3%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS				
ASIAN		4	3.1%		1	0.7%	ASIAN				
OTHER		3	2.3%		4	2.6%	OTHER				
TOTAL		130	100.0%		152	100.0%	TOTAL				

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



DISABILITY STATUS:												
SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)												
	# RESPONSES	SHELTERED	UNSHETERED	TOTAL								
		74	135	209								
SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)												
		SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)				%						
		NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	YES	TOTAL			
HEALTH		122	79	0	2	203	60.7%	39.3%	100.0%	Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded		
DEVELOPMENTAL		169	33	2	2	206	83.7%	16.3%	100.0%			
MENTAL		106	91	8	2	207	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%			
PHYSICAL		125	77	2	2	206	61.9%	38.1%	100.0%			
HIV		199	0	5	2	206	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)												
		NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	TOTAL	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS		
ALL		121	22	33	26	208	59.9%	10.9%	16.3%	12.9%		
SUBSTANCE ABUSE		59.9%	10.9%	16.3%	12.9%	100.0%	Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded					
% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES												
# DISABILITIES		0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	0	1	>1	TOTAL
#		50	46	58	33	20	4	211	23.7%	21.8%	54.5%	100.0%
BENEFITS:												
		NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	YES	TOTAL	Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded		
HEALTH		156	48	0	2	206	76.5%	23.5%	100.0%			
DISABILITY STATUS - SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED STATUS												
SHELTERED ONLY POPULATION												
		NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL						
HEALTH		50	20	1	1	72						
DEVELOPMENTAL		60	10	0	1	71						
MENTAL		37	30	4	1	72						
PHYSICAL		50	21	1	1	73						
HIV		70	0	1	1	72						
SHELTERED ONLY POPULATION												
		NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	YES	TOTAL	% OF POPULATION ANSWERING YES		
HEALTH		50	20	1	1	72	71.4%	28.6%	100.0%	HEALTH 28.6% 45.0%		
DEVELOPMENTAL		60	10	0	1	71	85.7%	14.3%	100.0%	DEVELOP 14.3% 17.4%		
MENTAL		37	30	4	1	72	55.2%	44.8%	100.0%	MENTAL 44.8% 46.9%		
PHYSICAL		50	21	1	1	73	70.4%	29.6%	100.0%	PHYSICAL 29.6% 42.7%		
HIV		70	0	1	1	72	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	HIV 0.0% 0.0%		
UNSHETERED ONLY POPULATION												
		NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	YES	TOTAL			
HEALTH		72	59	3	1	135	55.0%	45.0%	100.0%			
DEVELOPMENTAL		109	23	2	1	135	82.6%	17.4%	100.0%			
MENTAL		69	61	4	1	135	53.1%	46.9%	100.0%			
PHYSICAL		75	56	1	1	133	57.3%	42.7%	100.0%			
HIV		129	0	4	1	134	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)												
		NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOHOL+DRUGS	
SHELTERED		49	6	10	6	3	74	69.0%	8.5%	14.1%	8.5%	
UNSHELTERED		72	16	23	20	3	134	55.0%	12.2%	17.6%	15.3%	
208												
Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded												

Appendix B – Benton County Source Data



DISABILITY STATUS - MALE VS FEMALE												
	WOMEN		MEN		TOTAL							
# RESPONSES	63		156		219							
	FEMALE					FEMALE (%)			% OF POPULATION ANSWERING YES			
	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	YES	TOTAL	FEMALE	MALE		
HEALTH	35	22	4	0	61	HEALTH	61.4%	38.6%	100.0%	HEALTH	38.6%	39.6%
DEVELOPMENTAL	50	11	0	0	61	DEVELOP	82.0%	18.0%	100.0%	DEVELOP	18.0%	14.7%
MENTAL	33	27	1	0	61	MENTAL	55.0%	45.0%	100.0%	MENTAL	45.0%	46.2%
PHYSICAL	33	26	1	0	60	PHYSICAL	55.9%	44.1%	100.0%	PHYSICAL	44.1%	35.5%
HIV	61	0	0	0	61	HIV	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	HIV	0.0%	0.0%
	MALE					MALE (%)						
	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL	NO	YES	TOTAL				
HEALTH	84	55	0	2	141	HEALTH	60.4%	39.6%	100.0%			
DEVELOPMENTAL	116	20	2	2	140	DEVELOP	85.3%	14.7%	100.0%			
MENTAL	71	61	7	2	141	MENTAL	53.8%	46.2%	100.0%			
PHYSICAL	89	49	1	2	141	PHYSICAL	64.5%	35.5%	100.0%			
HIV	133	0	5	2	140	HIV	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	REFUSED	TOTAL	Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded					
FEMALE	39	4	11	5	3	62	FEMALE	66.1%	6.8%	18.6%	8.5%	100.0%
MALE	78	18	22	20	3	141	MALE	56.5%	13.0%	15.9%	14.5%	100.0%
	203											
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE:												
NO	167	83.5%										
YES	28	14.0%										
DON'T KNOW	3	1.5%										
REFUSED	2	1.0%										
TOTAL	200	100.0%										

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



TOTAL # RESPONSES: 327

LOCATIONS:

CITY	#	%	Linn County	
ALBANY	226	69.1%	SHELTERED	155
LEBANON	51	15.6%	UNSHELTERED	172
MILL CITY	5	1.5%		
SWEET HOME	45	13.8%	TOTAL:	327
				100.0%
TOTAL	327	100.0%		

LOCATIONS IN ALBANY		
HELPING HANDS	80	35.6%
JACKSON STREET	9	4.0%
SIGNS VICTORY	65	28.9%
UNSHELTERED	71	31.6%
TOTAL	225	100.0%

	ALBANY		ALBANY	NON-ALBANY		ALBANY	NON-ALBANY	
SHELTERED	68.4%	SHELTERED	154	0	SHELTERED	47.2%	0.0%	
UNSHELTERED	31.6%	UNSHELTERED	71	101	UNSHELTERED	21.8%	31.0%	
TOTAL:	100.0%	TOTAL:	225	101	326	TOTAL:	69.0%	31.0%
								100.0%

GENDER:

	#	%	
MALE	193	60.7%	MALE: FEMALE RATIO - ABOUT 3:2
FEMALE	124	39.0%	
OTHER	1	0.3%	
TOTAL RESPONSE	318	100.0%	

SEX DISTRIBUTION BY LOCATION:





	MALE	FEMALE	OTHER	TOTAL		MALE	FEMALE	OTHER	TOTAL
HELPING HANDS	47	26	1	74	HELPING HANDS	63.5%	35.1%	1.4%	100.0%
JACKSON STREET	4	5	0	9	JACKSON STREET	44.4%	55.6%	0.0%	100.0%
SIGNS VICTORY	43	20	0	63	SIGNS VICTORY	68.3%	31.7%	0.0%	100.0%
UNSHELTERED	96	71	0	167	UNSHELTERED	57.5%	42.5%	0.0%	100.0%
TOTAL	190	122	1	313					

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data






AGE DISTRIBUTION (ALL RECORDS):

	ALL	%
0-10	5	2.0%
11-17	15	6.1%
18-25	23	9.4%
26-30	18	7.3%
31-35	18	7.3%
36-40	26	10.6%
41-45	29	11.8%
46-50	33	13.5%
51-55	34	13.9%
56-60	22	9.0%
61-65	13	5.3%
66-70	6	2.4%
71-75	3	1.2%
76-80	0	0.0%
TOTAL	245	100.0%
MEAN AGE	41.7	
MEDIAN AGE	43.0	



Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



HOUSING STATUS BY GENDER													
	WOMEN		MEN			WOMEN		MEN			WOMEN		
SHELTERED	30	65	95	SHELTERED	12.5%	27.1%	39.6%	SHELTERED	65	44.2%	SHELTERED	30	32.3%
NON-SHELTERED	63	82	145	NON-SHELTERED	26.3%	34.2%	60.4%	NON-SHELTERED	82	55.8%	NON-SHELTERED	63	67.7%
TOTAL	93	147	240	TOTAL	38.8%	61.3%	100.0%	TOTAL	147	100.0%	TOTAL	93	100.0%

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



AGE DISTRIBUTION BY GENDER AND HOUSING STATUS					(%) AGE DISTRIBUTION FOR SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED MEN			(%) AGE DISTRIBUTION FOR SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED MEN		
AGE	SHELTERED		UNSHELTERED		AGE	MEN		AGE	WOMEN	
	WOMEN	MEN	WOMEN	MEN		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED		SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
0-10	1	2	1	1	0-10	3.1%	1.2%	0-10	3.3%	1.6%
11-17	5	5	3	2	11-17	7.7%	2.4%	11-17	16.7%	4.8%
18-25	5	7	2	8	18-25	10.8%	9.8%	18-25	16.7%	3.2%
26-30	2	4	6	6	26-30	6.2%	7.3%	26-30	6.7%	9.5%
31-35	2	7	1	7	31-35	10.8%	8.5%	31-35	6.7%	1.6%
36-40	2	5	9	9	36-40	7.7%	11.0%	36-40	6.7%	14.3%
41-45	2	3	9	14	41-45	4.6%	17.1%	41-45	6.7%	14.3%
46-50	3	12	9	9	46-50	18.5%	11.0%	46-50	10.0%	14.3%
51-55	3	9	11	11	51-55	13.8%	13.4%	51-55	10.0%	17.5%
56-60	3	7	5	7	56-60	10.8%	8.5%	56-60	10.0%	7.9%
61-65	1	2	5	5	61-65	3.1%	6.1%	61-65	3.3%	7.9%
66-70	0	2	1	2	66-70	3.1%	2.4%	66-70	0.0%	1.6%
71-75	1	0	1	1	71-75	0.0%	1.2%	71-75	3.3%	1.6%
76-80	0	0	0	0	76-80	0.0%	0.0%	76-80	0.0%	0.0%
#	30	65	63	82	240	>80		>80		
							100.0%		100.0%	100.0%
MEAN	36.5	40.3	44.1	42.8						
MEDIAN	35.5	45.0	46.0	43.5						

VET STATUS:	MEN VS WOMEN			MEN VS WOMEN			
	WOMEN	MEN		WOMEN	MEN		
NO	104	153	257	NO	36.9%	54.3%	91.1%
YES	5	20	25	YES	1.8%	7.1%	8.9%
TOTAL	109	173	282	TOTAL	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
	MEN			WOMEN			
	#	%		#	%		
NO	153	88.4%		NO	104	95.4%	
YES	20	11.6%		YES	5	4.6%	
TOTAL	173	100.0%		TOTAL	109	100.0%	

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



DURATION OF HOMELESSNESS:												
TIME	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS			CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS					
				ALL	MEN	WOMEN	ALL	MEN	WOMEN			
0-3 MO.	47	28	18	< 1 YR	125	76	46	< 1 YR	40.2%	41.1%	39.0%	
4-6 MO.	41	24	15									
7-11 MO.	37	24	13	1 YR PLUS	186	109	72	1 YR PLUS	59.8%	58.9%	61.0%	
1-2 YR.	85	52	31									
3 YR. OR MORE	101	57	41									
TOTAL				311			185			118		
Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.												
TIME	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED		CHRONIC VS NON-CHRONIC (<1YR) HOMELESSNESS							
			SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED						
0-3 MO.	24	23	< 1 YR	72	53	< 1 YR	46.5%	34.0%				
4-6 MO.	26	15										
7-11 MO.	22	15	1 YR PLUS	83	103	1 YR PLUS	53.5%	66.0%				
1-2 YR.	41	44										
3 YR. OR MORE	42	59										
TOTAL			155		156		100.0%		100.0%			

TIMES HOMELESS IN PAST 3 YEARS:

	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	% OF POPULATION				
				ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	113	65	48	70.6%	65.0%	80.0%		
4 OR MORE TIMES	47	35	12	29.4%	35.0%	20.0%		
TOTAL			160			100.0%		
Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.								

TIMES HOMELESS BY HOUSING STATUS

	SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED	% OF POPULATION		
			SHELTERED	NON-SHELTERED	
LESS THAN 4 TIMES	57	56	66.3%	75.7%	
4 OR MORE TIMES	29	18	33.7%	24.3%	
TOTAL		86		100.0%	

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



TIME IN COMMUNITY:											
	ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)	TIME	% OF POPULATION				% OF POPULATION		
					ALL INDIVID.	MEN (ALL)	WOMEN (ALL)		ALL	MEN	WOMEN
0-3 MO.	39	18	21	0-3 MO.	14.4%	15.3%	13.8%	< 1 YR	35.9%	39.0%	33.6%
4-6 MO.	27	15	12	4-6 MO.	10.0%	12.7%	7.9%	1 YR PLUS	64.1%	61.0%	66.4%
7-11 MO.	31	13	18	7-11 MO.	11.5%	11.0%	11.8%				
1-2 YR.	61	31	30	1-2 YR.	22.6%	26.3%	19.7%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
3 YR. OR MORE	112	41	71	3 YR. OR MORE	41.5%	34.7%	46.7%				
TOTAL	270	118	152	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

Note: differences dt individuals reporting duration but not sex.

TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS

	SHELTERED	UNSHelterED	TIME	% OF POPULATION		TIME IN COMMUNITY BY HOUSING STATUS		
				SHELTERED	UNSHelterED	SHELTERED	UNSHelterED	
0-3 MO.	21	9	0-3 MO.	13.8%	6.0%	< 1 YR	33.6%	11.3%
4-6 MO.	12	7	4-6 MO.	7.9%	4.6%	1 YR PLUS	66.4%	88.7%
7-11 MO.	18	1	7-11 MO.	11.8%	0.7%			
1-2 YR.	30	27	1-2 YR.	19.7%	17.9%		100.0%	100.0%
3 YR. OR MORE	71	107	3 YR. OR MORE	46.7%	70.9%			
TOTAL	152	151	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%			

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



ETHNICITY:			
USEABLE RESPONSE	324		
		%	
			# % RESPONSE
WHITE	284	87.7%	MORE THAN 1 RACE: 27 8.3%
HISPANIC	22	6.8%	
BLACK / AF. AMER.	7	2.2%	
NATIVE AMER	16	4.9%	Note: Approximately 8% of population identified as being of 2 or more races
PACIFIC ISLANDERS	6	1.9%	
ASIAN	4	1.2%	
OTHER	12	3.7%	
TOTAL	351	108.3%	

ETHNICITY SORTED BY GENDER				% DISTRIBUTION (MALE & FEMALE) OF ENTIRE POPULATION			
	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL		MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
WHITE	170	106	276	50.6%	31.5%	82.1%	WHITE
HISPANIC	12	9	21	3.6%	2.7%	6.3%	HISPANIC
BLACK / AF. AMER.	5	2	7	1.5%	0.6%	2.1%	BLACK / AF. AMER.
NATIVE AMER	9	7	16	2.7%	2.1%	4.8%	NATIVE AMER
PACIFIC ISLANDERS	5	1	6	1.5%	0.3%	1.8%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS
ASIAN	4	0	4	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	ASIAN
OTHER	6	0	6	1.8%	0.0%	1.8%	OTHER
TOTAL	211	125	336	TOTAL	62.8%	37.2%	100.0%

Note: 3 individuals identified as OTHER SEX were excluded

	MALE	%		FEMALE	%	
WHITE	170	80.6%		106	84.8%	WHITE
HISPANIC	12	5.7%		9	7.2%	HISPANIC
BLACK / AF. AMER.	5	2.4%		2	1.6%	BLACK / AF. AMER.
NATIVE AMER	9	4.3%		7	5.6%	NATIVE AMER
PACIFIC ISLANDERS	5	2.4%		1	0.8%	PACIFIC ISLANDERS
ASIAN	4	1.9%		0	0.0%	ASIAN
OTHER	6	2.8%		0	0.0%	OTHER
TOTAL	211	100.0%		125	100.0%	TOTAL

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



DISABILITY STATUS:

SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)

	SHELTERED	NSHELTERED	TOTAL
# RESPONSES			0

SHELTERED & UNSHELTERED POPULATION (COMBINED)

ALL RESPONSES

	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
HEALTH	145	157	3	0	305
DEVELOPMENTAL	218	80	3	0	301
MENTAL	124	175	8	0	307
PHYSICAL	166	135	2	0	303
HIV	296	0	6	1	303

%

	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%
DEVELOP	73.2%	26.8%	100.0%
MENTAL	41.5%	58.5%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	55.1%	44.9%	100.0%
HIV	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

ALL	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	TOTAL
SUBSTANCE ABUSE	195	20	47	41	303
	64.4%	6.6%	15.5%	13.5%	100.0%

SUBSTANCE ABUSE	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	TOTAL
	64.4%	6.6%	15.5%	13.5%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

# DISABILITIES	0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
#	38	64	84	70	42	9	307

% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES				
	0	1	>1	TOTAL
	12.4%	20.8%	66.8%	100.0%

BENEFITS:	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
	215	84	4	0	303

HEALTH	NO	YES	TOTAL
	71.9%	28.1%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

DISABILITY STATUS - SHELTERED VS UNSHELTERED STATUS

SHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
HEALTH	84	66	1	0	151
DEVELOPMENTAL	106	44	1	0	151
MENTAL	52	95	4	0	151
PHYSICAL	87	63	0	0	150
HIV	149	0	1	1	151

SHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	56.0%	44.0%	100.0%
DEVELOP	70.7%	29.3%	100.0%
MENTAL	35.4%	64.6%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	58.0%	42.0%	100.0%
HIV	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

% OF POPULATION ANSWERING YES

	SHELTERED	UNSHELTERED
HEALTH	44.0%	59.9%
DEVELOP	29.3%	24.3%
MENTAL	64.6%	52.6%
PHYSICAL	42.0%	47.7%
HIV	0.0%	0.0%

UNSHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED	TOTAL
HEALTH	61	91	2	0	154
DEVELOPMENTAL	112	36	2	0	150
MENTAL	72	80	4	0	156
PHYSICAL	79	72	2	0	153
HIV	147	0	5	0	152

UNSHELTERED ONLY POPULATION

	NO	YES	TOTAL
HEALTH	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
DEVELOP	75.7%	24.3%	100.0%
MENTAL	47.4%	52.6%	100.0%
PHYSICAL	52.3%	47.7%	100.0%
HIV	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	REFUSED	TOTAL
SHELTERED	91	12	28	20	0	151
UNSHELTERED	104	8	19	21	1	153

SHELTERED	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOHOL+DRUGS	TOTAL
	60.3%	7.9%	18.5%	13.2%	100.0%
UNSHELTERED	68.4%	5.3%	12.5%	13.8%	100.0%

304

# DISABILITIES	0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL
SHELTERED	17	27	47	36	21	3	151
UNSHELTERED	21	37	37	34	21	6	156

% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES				
	0	1	>1	TOTAL
SHELTERED	11.3%	17.9%	70.9%	100.0%
UNSHELTERED	13.5%	23.7%	62.8%	100.0%

Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded

Appendix C – Linn County Source Data



DISABILITY STATUS - MALE VS FEMALE						
	WOMEN	MEN	TOTAL			
# RESPONSES	124	193	317			

	FEMALE				TOTAL	FEMALE (%)	TOTAL	% OF POPULATION ANSWERING YES	
	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED				FEMALE	MALE
HEALTH	49	68	0	0	117	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%	HEALTH 58.1% 50.0%
DEVELOPMENTAL	87	27	1	0	115	76.3%	23.7%	100.0%	DEVELOP 23.7% 29.4%
MENTAL	38	27	4	0	69	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%	MENTAL 41.5% 53.9%
PHYSICAL	58	57	1	0	116	50.4%	49.6%	100.0%	PHYSICAL 49.6% 43.6%
HIV	114	0	1	0	115	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	HIV 0.0% 0.0%

	MALE				TOTAL	MALE (%)	TOTAL
	NO	YES	NOT KNOW	REFUSED			
HEALTH	89	89	3	0	181	50.0%	50.0%
DEVELOPMENTAL	125	52	2	0	179	70.6%	29.4%
MENTAL	82	96	4	0	182	46.1%	53.9%
PHYSICAL	101	78	1	0	180	56.4%	43.6%
HIV	177	0	4	0	181	100.0%	0.0%

	NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOH+DRUGS	REFUSED	TOTAL	Note: DON'T KNOW & REFUSED responses excluded					
							NO	ALCOHOL	DRUGS	ALCOHOL+DRUGS	TOTAL	
FEMALE	77	4	26	10	0	117	65.8%	3.4%	22.2%	8.5%	100.0%	
MALE	112	16	20	31	1	180	62.6%	8.9%	11.2%	17.3%	100.0%	
	297											

	# DISABILITIES	0	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	% INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES			
									0	1	>1	TOTAL
FEMALE		12	25	27	31	19	4	118	10.2%	21.2%	68.6%	100.0%
MALE		22	38	55	39	23	5	182	12.1%	20.9%	67.0%	100.0%

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE:

NO	257	88.6%
YES	29	10.0%
DON'T KNOW	4	1.4%
REFUSED	0	0.0%
TOTAL	290	100.0%